

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,481	10/20/2000	Joel E. Short	42253/	8652
826	7590 09/28/20	1	EXAMINER	
ALSTON &	BIRD LLP	WANG, LIANG CHE A		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/00/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/693,481	SHORT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Liang-che Alex Wang pears on the cover sheet with the cover					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	uly 2004.					
, —	·					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,3 and 6-13 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 6-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3, 6-13 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 7-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number 5,787,483, hereinafter Jam, and Chuah US Patent Number 6,654,808, hereinafter Chuah.
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Fowler has taught a method for dynamic control of data transfer by a operator during an on-going network session (Col 1 lines 42-56), comprising:
 - a. receiving a data packet at a gateway device (figure 1 node 101 is responsible for receiving and routing the data packets, therefore is viewed as a gateway device);
 - b. identifying, at the gateway device, a user associated with the data packet (see figure 1, packet 104 is sent from node 101 (left) and received node 101(right));
 - c. retrieving a user information for the data packet (Col 1 lines 53-56, operator may specify a transfer rate and Col 1 lines 46-48, the broadcast queue is limited to the selected amount of communication bandwidth);

Art Unit: 2155

d. determining if the transfer rate should be limited based on the operator selected bandwidth (Col 1 lines 49-52);

e. adjusting a transfer rate for data packet transmission based on the outcome of the determination process (Col 1 lines 46-48).

Fowler has not explicitly taught where the user is a subscriber.

However, Jam has taught a communication system that is having a plurality of subscribers transferring and receiving data packets (frames) (Figure 1 and Col 5 lines 20-26.)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler such that to have the operator to be the subscribers, because both Fowler and Jam has taught data packet communication with user sending or receiving data.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because operator is the person operating the system is know as an user, and a user of the service is usually called the subscriber of the service, having the user to subscribed to the services would allow the system to keep track of the subscriber actions and usage in order to improve the management of users in the system.

Furthermore, Fowler has not explicitly taught where the subscriber profile includes subscriber-selected bandwidth.

However, Chuah has taught a subscriber could specify a certain bandwidth the user wishes to use in a stored profile (Col 8 lines 54-60).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler and Jam such that to have the user

Art Unit: 2155

to be the subscribers, and to have a profile that includes subscriber-selected bandwidth, because both Fowler and Chuah has taught data communication with user sending or receiving data.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler and Jam because having the subscriber profile includes subscriber-selected bandwidth would provide user a better quality of service as taught by Chuah.

- 5. Referring to claim 3, Fowler as modified has further taught, wherein the step of identifying, at gateway device, a subscriber associated with the data packet, further comprises identifying, at gateway device, a subscriber associated with data packet by the media access control (MAC) address within the data packet (JAM, Col 14 lines 1-2.)
- 6. Referring to claim 7, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein the step of retrieving from memory a subscriber profile that includes subscriber-selected bandwidth further comprises retrieving from memory a subscriber profile that includes a first subscriber-selected bandwidth (Col 1 lines 52-54, operator selects bandwidth) for information being sent to a network and a second subscriber selected bandwidth for information retrieved from a network (Col 1 lines 46-48, and Chuah Col 8 lines 51-60).
- 7. Referring to claim 8, Fowler as modified has taught wherein the step of determining if transfer rate for data packet transmission should be adjusted based on the subscriber-selected bandwidth further comprises the step of determining a delay period, if any, for transmitting the packet and wherein adjusting the transfer rate for data packet transmission based on the outcome of the determination process further comprises the step of queuing the data packet for the delay period before transmitting the packet

Art Unit: 2155

- (Fowler, Col 1 lines 49-52, delay period is determined as the the period of time that message is held until the selected amount of bandwidth become available.)
- 8. Referring to claim 9, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein the step of determining a delay period further comprises determining a delay period based upon a byte size of the data packet (Fowler, Col 53-56, selected bandwidth is based on the packet bytes to be send in any one second period.)
- 9. Referring to claim 10, Fowler as modified has further taught wherein the step of determining a delay period further comprises determining a delay period based upon a byte size and a time lapse of a most recently transmitted data packet that was associated with the subscriber (Fowler, Col 1 lines 53-56, selected bandwidth is based on the packet bytes to be send in any one second period.)
- 10. Referring to claim 11, Fowler has taught about the delay period (Col 1 lines 48-52.) And it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art to have the maximum delay period of 2 seconds, because a delay time could be set to a limit of any time interval including a maximum of 2 seconds.
- 11. Referring to claim 13, Fowler as modified has taught wherein the subscriber network session is a wireless network session (Fowler, Col 2 lines 63-67, broadcasting is known to be done either wirely or wirelessly.)
- 12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number 5,787,483, hereinafter Jam, in further view of Salkewicz, US Patent Number 6,609,153, hereinafter Salkewicz. Fowler in view of Jam has taught an invention as described in claim 1, including retrieving a subscriber selected bandwidth. Fowler as modified has

Art Unit: 2155

not taught where the information is retrieved from the Authentication, Authorization and Accounting (AAA) subscriber management interface.

However, Salkewicz has taught the use of AAA to retrieve access control and identify the subscribers (Col 15 lines 13-27.)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler in view of Jam such that to have information retrieved from an AAA subscriber management interface, because both Fowler as modified and Salkewicz has taught packet communication with network devices.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because having an AAA would allow a better security to be implemented in Fowler's system though the Authentication, Authorization and Accounting.

13. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler, US

Patent Number 5,793,978, hereinafter Fowler, in views of Jam et al., US Patent Number
5,787,483, hereinafter Jam, in further view of Barton, US Patent Number 6,310,886,
hereinafter Barton. Fowler as modified has not taught, the step of queuing the data packet
using a ring buffer. However, Barton has taught the use of ring buffer for queuing the
data packet (Col 8 lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Fowler in view of Jam such that to have a ring buffer for queuing the data packet, because both Fowler as modified and Barton has taught packet communication in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2155

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Fowler because having the ring buffer algorithm used for queuing packets to be sent through is well known and recognized by the practitioners skilled in the art as taught by Barton (Col 7 line 67- Col 8 line 3.)

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6-13, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,481 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is (703)

305-8159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to

5:00 pm.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on (703)308-6662. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang ↓₩ September 20, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER